



# Resignification of borders: Eurasianism and the



Workshop

14 - 16 April 2016 University of Konstanz



Cultural Foundations of Social Integration

————————————————— UNIVERSITY OF KONSTANZ



# •

#### 14.04.2016

(University of Konstanz, Senatssaal V 1001)

#### 18.45 Alfred J. Rieber

The Struggle for the Eurasian Borderlands. From the Rise of Early Modern Empires to the End of the First World War

### 15.04.2016

(Institute for Advanced Study, Otto-Adam-Str. 5, Konstanz)

#### Challenges and Conflicts of Eurasian Integration Strategies

### 09.15 Konstantin Kaminskij Introduction

- 09.30 Gazinur Gizdatov

  Kazakhstan between Eurasianism and Panturanism
- 10.10 *Rifat Gumerov*Russian Literature in Central Asia
- 10.50 Coffee
- 11.10 Radka RubilinaNarrative of Genocide and Security:the Armenian Vision of Eurasia
- 11.50 Aliaksei Kazharski Re-imagining Belarus? Discourses of the Russian World in and around Russia's ,best ally'
- 12.30 Lunch







# Religious Identities and Diversity Management on the Cultural Margins

- 14.15 *Jurij Murašov* Introduction
- 14.30 Alexandra Yatsyk
   Religious Diplomacy, Soft Power and Exported
   Conservatism: the case of Russia-Georgia Relations
- 15.10 Monika Wingender
  Language Policy and Identity Building in Russian-Turkic Speech Communities
- 15.50 Coffee
- 16.10 Michael Kemper / Gulnaz Sibgatullina Russia's Islam and Eurasianism
- 17.00 Viktoria Abakumovskikh

  The Development of the Islamic Economic Politics in the Republic of Tatarstan. Combining Religious Ethics with a Geopolitical Strategy
- 19.00 Dinner

# 16.04.2016

- 10.00 Round Table:Eurasianism and Russian World in Twenty Years
- 12.00 Lunch
- 14.00 Departue









## Resignification of borders:

#### Eurasianism and the 'Russian World'

The discrepancy between the concepts of Eurasianism and the Russian world constitute a major controversy embedded in integrationist policies in the post-Soviet space. The distinction between the two is substantial: Eurasianism is a set of *geopolitical* ideas more focused on governing territories rather than articulating identity discourses, while the Russian world is a *biopolitical* doctrine premised on protecting an imagined transterritorial community of Russian speakers allegedly sharing a common macro-identity.

On the one hand, the two doctrines may overlap, as epitomized by the annexation of Crimea and the Russian de-facto insistence on spheres of influence in Europe. On the other hand, the concept of the 'Russian world', being a key ideological tool in Russia's support for the military insurgency in Ukraine, might in many respects be detrimental for the implementation of the Eurasian project. It also rendered two controversial effects for a wider Moscow's policy in the post-Soviet area. First, the Russian world concept is grounded in cultural and religious tenets of identity and contains strong exclusionary notes. Thus, Central Asia is gradually bracketed out of Russian foreign policy calculations, which is definitely an important factor in the changing balance of power between Russia and China. Second, being a biopolitical doctrine, the Russian world does not serve the practical purposes of governance and lacks a clear and consistent picture of Russia's regional policies.

The workshop seeks to unpack the complexities of discursive construction of the Eurasianism and the Russian World concepts as exemplified by different dimensions of cultural, political, religious, ethnic, and social practices

#### For further information, please contact:

Dr. Konstantin Kaminskij konstantin.kaminskij@uni-konstanz.de

-exzellenzcluster.uni-konstanz.de





